

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,312	08/06/2003	Geoffrey Lipscombe	16-499	8134
7590 06/04/2004 WATTS HOFFMANN CO., L.P.A.			EXAMINER	
			AVILA, STEPHEN P	
1100 Superior A Cleveland, OH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3617	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ly				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/635,312	LIPSCOMBE, GEOFFREY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAN INO DATE of the	Stephen Avila	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	Dears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTh , cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. 1S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	<u>ugust 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 15-18</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-14</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyanc	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) △ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Burea 		eceived in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived				
	o con the continued copied not no					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 102003) 5) ∐ Notice of Info 6) ☐ Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	,	-				

Application/Control Number: 10/635,312 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giljam in view of Dick, Jr. and Aso (cited by Applicant). Giljam discloses a seating arrangement for an amphibious vehicle with rows of seats (note 24, for example). Not disclosed by Giljam is a tip up seat and a seat frame with an adjusting rail. Dick, Jr. teaches a tip up seat (note Figures 5 and 6, for example). Aso teaches a rail with a slide block (note Figure 2, for example). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the seat of Giljam to be a tip up seat as taught by Dick, Jr. to allow two levels of seating and to form the seat with a rail with a slide block to allow seat adjustment as taught by Aso.
- 3. Claims 1, 4-10, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Giljam in view of Dick, Jr. and Fussnegger et al (cited by Applicant). Giljam discloses a seating arrangement for an amphibious vehicle with rows of seats (note 24, for example). Not disclosed by Giljam is a tip up seat and a seat frame with an adjusting rail. Dick, Jr. teaches a tip up seat (note Figures 5 and 6, for example). Fussnegger et al teach a rail with a roller (note Figure 2, for example). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the seat of Giljam to be a tip up seat as taught by Dick, Jr. to allow two levels of

Art Unit: 3617

seating and to form the seat with a rail with a roller to allow seat adjustment as taught by Fussnegger et al. Additionally, to form the vehicle of Giljam to be a planing vehicle would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made because such a vehicle would achieve greater speed and stability.

4. Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 703-308-2578. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8 AM to 4 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,312

Art Unit: 3617

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617
